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ABSTRACT: This article provides a brief introduction to as well as a normalized edition and an English translation of the miracles about St. Olaf preserved in AM 325 IV α 4to—sometimes referred to as the seventh and eighth fragment. The edition is based on that of Jonna Louis-Jensen (1970). The translation is to be understood as an addendum to the English translation of The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson and six fragments from The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint (translated by Scholz and Schach and published by Arthur and Wolf).

RÉSUMÉ: Cet article fournit une brève introduction, ainsi qu’une édition normalisée et une traduction anglaise des miracles concernant St. Olaf conservés dans AM 325 IV α 4to, parfois appelés les septième et huitième fragments. Cette édition est basée sur celle de Jonna Louis-Jensen (1970). La traduction doit être comprise comme un addenda à la traduction anglaise de The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson (en français, « La saga légendaire du Roi Olaf Haraldsson ») et aux six fragments de la saga de The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint (en français, l’« Ancienne saga de Saint Olaf ») (traduits en anglais par Scholz et Schach et publiés par Arthur et Wolf).
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Preface

In July 2013, Professor John Karl Scholz of the University of Wisconsin at Madison entrusted Professor Kirsten Wolf with an unpublished English translation of *The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson* and six fragments from *The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint*, which his mother, Joyce Scholz, and Professor Paul Schach of the University of Nebraska at Lincoln had undertaken. Both passed away before their translation was submitted for publication. Kirsten Wolf and I reviewed and edited the translation, revised it where necessary, and published it in 2014 as part of the WITS II series of the Department of Scandinavian Studies at the University of Wisconsin at Madison.¹

Scholz and Schach’s translation had no introduction, but it was easily detectable that it was based on the Old Norse-Icelandic text in the first volume of *Konunga sögur* edited by Guðni Jónsson (1957). The translators appear to have also consulted a newer edition published by Heinrichs et al. (1982). While Guðni Jónsson (420–26) included the text of two additional fragments—sometimes referred to as the seventh and eighth fragment of *The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint*—Scholz and Schach’s translation omitted the two fragments. The omission was presumably a result of Jonna Louis-Jensen’s (1970) analysis of the two fragments, preserved in the manuscript AM 325 IV α 4to, which revealed that they were not actually part of *The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint*, as previous research had suggested (see particularly Storm 9).²

Even though the fragments in AM 325 IV α 4to have been wrongfully identified as belonging to *The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint*, they are certainly textually closely related to *The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson* and other texts preserving the life and miracles of St. Olaf. For this reason, the fragments could have been included in the publication of Scholz and Schach’s English translation. Kirsten Wolf and I, however, decided that the integrity of Scholz and Schach’s translation should be kept intact so that the publication represented their work and legacy with only minor revisions to ensure consistency. Nonetheless, providing an English translation of these two fragments as well as a normalized edition of Louis-Jensen’s diplomatic transcription of the text seemed a desirable addendum to allow scholars and students with no or limited knowledge of Old Norse-Icelandic to compare the surviving sources about St. Olaf.³

About the Edition

Even though Guðni Jónsson (420–26) prints the text of AM 325 IV α 4to, basing his edition on Storm (12–16), Louis-Jensen (1970) read the fragments anew under
ultraviolet light, revising previous readings and offering a more accurate transcription. The normalized edition as well as English translation of AM 325 IV α 4 to are, therefore, based primarily on her readings and annotations.

As with the six fragments of The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint in Scholz and Schach’s translation, the edition and translation below indicate parallel text passages between AM 325 IV α 4 to and The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson by adding in parentheses corresponding chapter numbers and chapter titles from Guðni Jónsson’s (1957) edition. Exact references to corresponding text passages in The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson (in Old Norse-Icelandic and English) as well as to other texts about St. Olaf (in Old Norse-Icelandic) are added in endnotes to each chapter. Following Louis-Jensen’s practice, an equality sign (=) indicates that parallel texts stem from the same redaction as the text in AM 325 IV α 4 to, whereas a not equal to sign (≠) denotes text passages preserving a different redaction of a miracle. Louis-Jensen points out that all but one of the miracles in AM 325 IV α 4 to are also preserved in an excerpt of Snorri’s separate Saga of Olaf the Saint, preserved in AM 235 fol., which has been published by Unger (II:159–82). The wording of the miracles in AM 235 fol. often differs significantly from the other versions. The miracle not included in AM 235 fol. corresponds with “(118. Jartegn við kryppling einn)” / “(118. The miracle of the cripple)” below. Louis-Jensen also notes that the final miracle on fol. 2 of AM 325 IV α 4 to, “(122. Jartegn við syndugan mann)” / “(122. The miracle of the sinful man),” is heavily abridged in AM 235 fol.

Since the present article is to be understood as an addendum to Scholz and Schach’s English translation of The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson, which is based on Guðni Jónsson’s edition, the current normalized edition follows the spelling conventions utilized by Guðni Jónsson. Words such as ok, þat, and netit maintain, for example, final unvoiced stops in low-stressed syllables. Pre-epenthesis -r is preserved, such as in masculine, singular nouns and adjectives in the nominative (e.g. digr) or personal Names such as Óláfr. Both <æ> and <œ> are represented as <æ> in this edition. Similarly, ǫ is used for both ø and œ. The middle voice ending is rendered -st. In instances where Louis-Jensen’s readings of the text are identical with those of Storm and Guðni Jónsson, I follow Guðni Jónsson’s normalized text verbatim.

Conjectured letters or words in places where the fragments are illegible (indicated in Louis-Jensen’s edition by replacing letters with zeros) as well as words that are missing in the fragments but are needed to achieve a comprehensive text have been placed in square brackets in the edition and generally also in the translation below. Endnotes have been added to the edition as well as the translation to elaborate on these uncertain passages as well as to point out differences in readings between Louis-Jensen (1970) and Storm (1893), in cases where different readings lead to a change in meaning. As noted above, Guðni Jónsson’s (1957) readings coincide with Storm (1893), who offered a more
diplomatic transcription and facsimile edition of the fragments. Louis-Jensen (32) mentions that a later user of the manuscript “opfrisket” [refreshed] the text—or attempted to—by writing over words that are difficult to read. She points out, however, that these “opfriskningerne” [refreshed readings], which she lists in notes within her transcription, are often erroneous. Since these “corrections” do not represent the original text, they have been omitted in this article.

About the Translation

In order to allow readers to directly compare Scholz and Schach’s English translation of The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson with the translation of miracles in AM 325 IV α 4to, I used their translation for passages that are identical in Old Norse-Icelandic in both texts and decided to imitate Scholz and Schach’s translation style, even though their preferences may not always coincide with my personal preferences (such as the omission of historical present tense). Scholz and Schach’s translators’ choices have been outlined in the introduction to their translation as follows:

Joyce Scholz and Paul Schach’s goal was to present a coherent and readable English translation. They did not attempt to imitate Old Norse-Icelandic syntax and style. Very long sentences are in the translation sometimes broken up into shorter sentences, and the order of clauses is occasionally reversed for clarity or emphasis. The translators also sometimes changed the beginnings or endings of direct speech, where Guðni Jónsson’s choice with regard to the placement of quotation marks seems questionable. The historical present tense has generally not been retained in the translation, and personal names now and then replace pronouns. Personal names have been Anglicized, and the Old Norse-Icelandic characters ð, þ, and æ have been replaced with ð, th, and ae. Bynames have been translated, unless their meaning is unclear. Unidentified place names have been treated similarly to personal names, but Scandinavian letters, such as æ, ø, and å, have been retained. Some place names have been translated. In the case of known place names, the Scandinavian term or a known English version has been used.

(Arthur and Wolf v)

A Note on Style

The two fragments differ quite significantly in style, which consequently is also notable in the English translation. Analyzing these differences in style is not the aim of this article, but it can briefly be noted that the style of the seventh fragment is somewhat paratactic, with shorter sentences strung together. The style of the eighth fragment, conversely, is more flourished and hypotacitic. The text of this
fragment has, as Storm (14) points out, “Karacter af Prædiken” [the characteristics of a sermon], even addressing an intended audience as “minir godo vinir” [my good friends].

**AM 325 IV α 4to, fol. 1; “The Seventh Fragment”**

(100. Kom upp helgi Óláfs konungs)\(^7\)
-- hlaupa í netit."
Hann svaraði: “Ei er digr minn háls, en ef margir hlaupa slikir í netit, þá vættir mik, at rifni möskvarnir þínir.” Þetta fór eigi fram sem hon beiddi.

(100. King Olaf’s holiness is revealed)
-- leap into the net.”
He answered, “My neck is not very thick, but if many such men leap into the net, then I expect that your meshes will rip.” This did not happen as she had requested.

(101. Tekinn upp helgr dómr Óláfs konungs)\(^8\)
Síðan var Óláfr í skrán lagiðr með mikilli dýrð ok fagrligum jarteinum. Þar fengu blindir menn sýn [en] líkþ[ráir]\(^9\) menn heilsu.

(101. The translation of the holy relics of King Olaf)
Afterward King Olaf was laid in a shrine with great ceremony and magnificent miracles. The blind men took sight, [and the lepers] were healed.

(104. Frá Guthormi hertoga)\(^10\)
Sá atburðr gerðist í Öngulseyjarsundi [at Guthormr],\(^11\) Gunnhildar sonr, systursonr Óláfs, hét á hann til fulltings, at hann mætti halda fé sínu ok fjórvi, er hann barðist við Margað jarl. Hann hafði sextán skip, en Guthormr fimm, ok fekk Guth[ormr]\(^12\) sigr af ör[leik]\(^13\) ok heilagleik Óláfs. Síðan lét hann gera rðuna miklu.

(104. Of Duke Gudthorm)
It happened in the Anglesey Sound that [Gudthorn], the son of Gunnhild, nephew of Olaf, called upon him for assistance that he might keep his booty and his life when he fought against Earl Margad. He had sixteen ships, but Gudthorn only five. But Gudthorn gained victory because of Olaf’s [generosity] and holiness. Then he had a large crucifix built.

(105. Jartegn í Miklagarði)\(^14\)
(105. The miracle in Constantinople)
These miracles happened abroad in Constantinople that Bulgars came to harry. The Greek emperor ordered his troops against them, and they met at the Pekina fields. They were sixty to one. The Greek troops rode forth first and were defeated. Then the Franks rode forth and achieved no more.

This enraged the emperor greatly, and he became angry with them. They answered, “Get your wine guzzlers ready, your Varangians.”

“I do not dare to lead such good men into such great danger.”

Thorir Helsingr, who was the spokesman of the Varangians there, replied, “We would attack [even if] a fire was burning, if it meant peace for you.”

“Call,” said the emperor, “upon Olaf, your king, for assistance.”

They did that. Then the Varangians assembled their troops and rode against the heathens.

The heathen king said, “How big is their army?” They said a handful of men.

“Who [is],” said he, “the glorious and magnificent one who rides in front of their troops on a white horse?” They declared that they did not see anyone. Then dread and fear spread among them, and they fled. But the Varangians, Greeks, and Franks went after them and killed as many of them as they wanted. The Varangians had a blind king, and he was baptized and told them the vision. Then a church was built there for Olaf.
(119. Jartegnir við tunguskorna menn)²²

Thóra Guthormsdóttir, móðir Sigurðar konungs, lét [s]kera tungu ór höfði manni, er Kolbeinn hét, of eigi meiri sakar en hann haflí tekif af krásadískí hennar. Hann fór til Ólafs ok sofnædi of óttus[ö]ng. Síðari Óláfsmessu sá konung ²³ ok tók í tungustúfinn ok togaði, ok var heill, er hann vaknaði.

Vindr ²⁴ tóku mann, er Halldórr hét, á þeim degi, er Nikulás kardináli kom í Noreg, hálfi Manns fyrri. Hann fór til Óláfs ok sofnaði of óttus[ö]ng. On the later Saint Olaf’s Mass Day he saw the king, who grasped the stump of the tongue and tugged. As soon as he awoke, he was healed.

(The miracle of the cripple)

(118. Jartegn við kryppling einn)²⁷

Alvaldr ²⁸ hét krypplingr, er Ólafr græddi. Hann sofnæði útí of dag. Maðr kom at honum göfugligr ok spurði, hvert hann vildi fara. Hann nefndi bæinn. “Far til Óláfskirkju” ²⁹ í Lundunum ³⁰ ok mundu þar heill verða.”

Síðan fór hann ok kom of síð[ir] ³¹ til Lundabryggju. Hann spurði, hvar Óláfskirkja væri. Honum var sagt, at miklu váru kirkjur þar fleiri en menn vissi, hver ³² Óláfskirkja ³³ væri. Þá kom at maðr ok mælti við kryppilinn: “Fórum báðir saman, ok kann ek leið til

(Alvald was the name of a crippled man whom Olaf healed. He fell asleep outside one day. A distinguished-looking man came toward him and asked where he wanted to go. He named the city, “Travel to Olaf’s Church in London, and there you will be healed.” Then Alvald set out and came [at last] to London Bridge. He asked where Olaf’s Church was. He was told that there were so many churches there that people did not know which one was Olaf’s Church. A man came toward him and said to the cripple, “Let’s go together. I know the
Olaf's Church." The threshold there was high, but Alvald was able to roll himself over it inside and became healed, but nowhere did he see his travel companion.

(109. Jartegn við Ríkarð prest)

Sú jartein gerðist á Upplöndum, at braeðr tveir, synir Guðorms grábaks, Einarr ok Andrés, móðurbræðr Sigurðar, unga konungs, ábrýddu of systur sína at saklausu, en þó nokkut af hennar orðum, at presti enskum, er Ríkarðr hét, heimtu hann braut frá óðrum mönnnum, ok vissi enskis ótta ván, föru á skipi hjá vatnsströndu, er Rönd heitir, ok lendu at Skiptisandi. Þeir báru sakar á hönd honum. Hann synjaði. Síðan bundu þeir hann ok mæltu við þjón sin, at hann skyldi ljósta hann óxarhamarshögg, svá at hann ómætti af. Þá tóku þeir hæl ok veltu út augunum.

Hann spurði, hví svá hart skyldi við hann leika. “Verðr ertu þess,” sögðu þeir.

“Skipti almáttigr guð milli vár,” sagði hann, “ok inn helgi Óláfr konungr.”

Síðan drógu þeir út tungu hans ok skáru af mikit ok spurðu, ef hann mætti mæla, en hann leitaði við at mæla. Þá tóku þeir í tungustúfinn ok skáru af tysvar þaðan af ok í tungurótum it síðasta sinn ok brutu fótlegg hans ok köstuðu honum í naust nokkurt við Askeimsherað ok gerðu orð til bæjarins, hvat títt var, en húsfreeja ok döttir hennar fóru eftir honum ok báru hann heim í móttli way to Olaf’s Church."

(109. The miracle with priest Rikard)

This miracle happened in Oppland that Einar and Andres, two brothers, the sons of Gudthorm Grayback, [uncles of the young King Sigurd], were jealous of an English priest called Rikard over their sister, without just cause, and yet somewhat because of things she said. They called him away from other men. He apprehended no danger. They embarked on a ship by the bank of the lake called Rønd and landed at Skiptisand. They brought charges against him. He pleaded not guilty. They tied him up and told their servant to strike him a blow with the back of his axe so that he would pass out. Then they took a peg and gouged out his eyes. He asked why he was treated so harshly.

“You deserve this,” said they.

“May God Almighty,” said he, “and King Olaf the Saint decide between us.”

Then they pulled out his tongue, cut much of it off, and asked if he could speak. He tried to speak. They took the stump of the tongue and again cut off more of it and lastly the root of the tongue. They broke his legs and threw him in a boathouse in the Askeim-district. They sent word of what had happened to the farm. The housewife and her daughter went to get
him and carried him home in their mantle. They cared for him, but for a long time he was not conscious. When night came, he fell into a lethargic sleep. Then he saw a handsome man come towards him, who said, “You have been treated badly, Rikard comrade. I can see that you can hardly speak.”

He took the stump of the tongue and pulled it so hard that it was painful for him. And he regained his speech. Then Rikard exclaimed, “I am blessed! Thank God and Olaf the Saint.”

AM 325 IV α 4to, fol. 2; “The Eighth Fragment”

(122. Jartegn við syndugan mann) [Much] I have told of the miracles, those our Lord has worked for the sake of King Olaf the Saint, but this one, which now indeed stirs our heart and the hearts of many of God’s friends, appears to be the most sublime. Just as the soul of every Christian man is more noble in its nature than the body, so also its death is more dangerous and perilous, and thus salvation is more glorious. But the enemy of all mankind never ceases to work against us each day as he worked once in Paradise. He wants to corrupt the mind of every person and entice every person with treacherous deceit. He asks the body to believe what he proclaims, and he says God’s anger and the breaking of God’s commandments are of little significance. He promises great worldly honour, and thus he
hann inn fyrsta mann. Þar með blekkir hann hvern hans afsprengi.

Þess bragðs neytti hann við mann nokkur, þann er hann sveik með svá banvænum drykk, at hann gleymdi allra guðs boðorða ok fylgði vildir ok ofdramsfullr ðblást fjandans, en sá vesli maðr var ör heraði því, er [Ýtrey] heitir. Svá aumlísta hafði óvinrinn hann blindaðan, at hann virði enskis annars heims þeirra móti munúð sinni ok veraldargíða, eða því var hann þá eigi sárliga svikinn, er hann gafst í fjandans veldi til þessa heims samtvar ok nítaði sínnum skapara ok sagðist ör samneyti ok fagnði allra heilagra manna? Síðan fylgði hann fjandans râðum ok því einu jafn fram, er ferligt var.

Nú á móti Óláfrmessu of sumarit þá sótti fjöldi mikill til miskunnar þangat, sem sá helgi konunger hvílír. Þá för sá vesli maðr þangað fyrir þess eins sakar, at hann mætti sjá ok heyra, mæla ok gera nokkurt þess s[aurl]ís er hann fengi fastliðar sik bundit á fjandans fóruneyti. En várð rôttinn er svá aumhjar[taðr], mínir gðóð vinir, at honum þykkir því öllu aumlígra of þann aumingja, er hann sér hann sárligar svikinn en hvern kristinn mann.

Nú of daginn þá var líkar þess helga manns út borinn með háléitr tign, þá betrays many with this promise. God’s judgment and the torments of Hell no man need fear, he declares. With this heresy he betrayed the first man; therewith he deceives each of his offspring.

He used a crafty scheme against a certain man whom he deceived with such deadly drink that the man forgot all of God’s commandments, and the falsely directed and arrogant man followed the devil’s suasion. That wretch was from the district called [Ýtrey]. So miserably had the Enemy blinded him that he deemed another world’s tortures insignificant against his lust and worldly desires. Was he then not sorely deceived when for the vainglory of this world he gave himself into the devil’s power, denied his Creator, and declared himself out of the communion and joy with all holy men? Afterward he followed the devil’s advice and pursued his abominable activities.

Now as the day of Saint Olaf’s Mass drew near during the summer, a great crowd of people went forth to the place where that holy king rests to seek mercy. That wretched man went there for only one reason: that he might be able to see, hear, speak, and lead the [unclean life] in which he had so firmly ensnared himself through the devil’s companionship. But our Lord is so [charitable], my good friends, that to Him this pitiful man seemed all the more pitiable, whom he found more sorely deceived than any Christian man. Now, during the day, the body of this holy man was carried out with stately
tök sjá vesli maðr at huggleða\textsuperscript{46} dýrð ōs dýrliga konungs ok sína vesöld ok eilifan ōfarnað, er hann þóttist vita sér fýrir höndum, þegars hann skildist við þessa veröld. Því næst vitraði hans svá háléit miskunn ins helga anda fýrir návista sakar þess guðs mildings ok sendi honum svá mikla iðran, at utan þóttust menn þat mega á honum sjá, hve [h]ann\textsuperscript{47} þóttist ok hve mjök hann þóttist syndgast hafa. Ok er skríinit var flutt or stað ok til annars, þá þokaðist hann til miskunnar þangat, sem sá helgi maðr hafði áðr hvílóst, tök þá kalla á þann milda mann með særum styn ok andvarpan, bað auðmilaðe með miklum grát þann milda konung með guðs fulltingi leysa synd sína ok af sér þau seigð bónð, [sem hann] hafð[i] ha[nn]\textsuperscript{48} fest ok fjóandinn hann í vaðán. Nú létt guð hann njóta ins helga árnanðarorðs Óláfs konungs ok leysti hann til sín háleitliga af and[skotans].\textsuperscript{49}

ceremony. Then that wretched man began to reflect on the glory of this glorious king and his own misery and the eternal sorrow that he knew was in hand for him when he parted from this world. After that, because of the presence of this prince of God, the sublime mercy of the Holy Spirit came upon him and evoked in him such great remorse that people thought they could tell from his outward appearance [what he] thought and how miserably he thought he had sinned. When the shrine was moved out of one place and to another, he moved to find mercy at the site where this holy man had earlier rested and began to call upon that compassionate man with sorrowful groans and heavy sighs. The wretched one prayed with much crying to the generous king that with God’s assistance he would free him from his sin and loosen from him the unyielding bonds in which he had chained [himself] and in which the devil had entangled him. God then granted him the benefit of the holy intercession of King Olaf and redeemed him in a sublime fashion from Sat[an].

NOTES

1. This series offers English translations of Scandinavian texts to be used in Literature in Translation classes.

2. In his analysis of the various texts preserving the life of St. Olaf, Sigurður Nordal (1914) agreed with Storm’s (1893) assumption that AM 325 IV α 4to had been copied from the original codex to which the remaining six fragments of The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint (NRA 52) belonged. Nordal (1914) places The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint at the top of his stemma, largely basing his decision on the assumed date of the miracles in AM 325 IV α 4to. Louis-Jensen (59) points out that since AM 325 IV α 4to does not preserve The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint, and the redaction of miracles in AM 325 IV α 4to is quite
possibly older (c1155-65) than those in NRA 52, the position of The Oldest Saga of Olaf the Saint within the stemma must be revisited.

3. I would like to thank Jonna Louis-Jensen as well as her publisher for granting me permission to publish this normalized edition of her transcription.

4. The Old-Norse Icelandic editions of The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson referenced are Johnsen (1922) and Guðni Jónsson (1957).

5. The English translation referenced is the one by Scholz and Schach, cited as Arthur and Wolf (2014).

6. The referenced editions are Gamal norsk homiliebok. Cod. AM. 619 4° (Indrebø 1966); Saga Olafs konungshins helga. Den store saga om Olav den Hellige (Johnsen and Helgason 1930); Heimskringla (Finnur Jónsson 1893); and Heilagra manna søgur (Unger 1877).

7. Corresponding text passages (Chapters 100 and 101) = Arthur and Wolf (104); G. Jónsson (I:371-72); Johnsen (90); Johnsen and Helgason (833); ≠ Johnsen and Helgason (601); F. Jónsson (II:518); Unger (II:172-73).

8. See n. 7 regarding corresponding text passages.

9. The passage in the manuscript is defective. Louis-Jensen (35) only offers a partial reading, “00licþ000” (zeros represent illegible characters), mentioning that Storm (12) has “en licþrair menn,” which also corresponds with the parallel passage in The Legendary Saga of Olaf the Saint (see Arthur and Wolf 104; G. Jónsson I:372; Johnsen 90).

10. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 104) ≠ Arthur and Wolf (106); G. Jónsson (I:375-77,8); Johnsen (92–93); Indrebø (112–13); Johnsen and Helgason (631-33; 833-34); F. Jónsson (III:149-51); Unger (II:175).

11. Storm (12) transcribes “at Gothoالتزام son,” but Louis-Jensen (35) cannot confirm the reading “[000000000]” and considers his reading of “son” improbable, since the noun follows Gunnhild’s name in her transcription. It seems likely, however, that the defective passage preserved the name of Gunnhild’s son.

12. Louis-Jensen (35) reads “Goth,” but this is presumably simply a shortening of Gudthorn’s name. Storm (12) expands to “Gothormr.”

13. Louis-Jensen (35) only provides the beginning of the word as “awro0000.” Storm (12) reads “ærleik.”

14. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 105) ≠ Arthur and Wolf (107–8); G. Jónsson (I:377-78); Johnsen (94); Indrebø (114); Johnsen and Helgason (633-35; 834); F. Jónsson (III:429-31), Unger (II:175-76).

15. Louis-Jensen (35) reads “her[m]diz.” Storm (12) reads “harmadiz” [he lamented] but Louis-Jensen (35) points out that the second letter in the manuscript is certainly not an a.

16. Both Louis-Jensen (35) and Storm (12) transcribe the word as “vínbelgia,” a masculine noun in the accusative plural. Most dictionaries, such as Cleasby and Vigfússon (717), suggest that the word is a compound of vín [wine] and belgr [skin, belly] and should be translated as “wine-skin.” Stefán Karlsson (215), however, argues that the nominative singular of this noun should be vínbelgir and that belgir is an agent noun (nomen agentis) derived from the verb belgja to [gulp, to guzzle]. He draws comparison to the noun vínsvelgur [drunkard], an agent noun derived from the verb svelgja [to gulp]. Ordbog
over det norrøne prosasprog lists vínbelgir as the main entry but vínbelgr as an alternative in their online wordlist. In either case (vínbelgur/vínbelgir), the term is used as an insult by the Franks against the Varangians, implying that they were sitting around drinking wine rather than defending the Byzantine Empire.

17. Louis-Jensen (35) transcribes “þ0000 ýer0.” Storm (12) reads “þott ýeri.”
18. Louis-Jensen (35) reads “fyldo,” while Storm (12) has “fylcdu.” The meaning, however, seems to be the same: to assemble.
19. Louis-Jensen (35) transcribes “r[ld]o,” mentioning that only part of the d is legible. Storm (12) has “rvnno” [they ran].
20. Louis-Jensen (36) has “hvør sa.” Similarly, Storm (12) reads “hverr fa,” but mentions in a footnote that the verb er should follow hverr. Since the following adjectives, ýtarlegi [glorious] and göfundlegi [magnificent], appear to be in the nominative in the manuscript, it seems indeed probable that the verb er is omitted.

21. Both Storm (13) and Louis-Jensen (36) suggest that even though the manuscript clearly refers to Varangians, this must be a scribal error and should more correctly read “heidingiar” [the heathens].

22. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 119, 1st paragraph) = Arthur and Wolf (117); G. Jónsson (I:393); Johnsen (103–4); # Arthur and Wolf (109); G. Jónsson (I:379–80); Johnsen (95–96); Indrebø (115–16); Johnsen and Helgason (648–49); F. Jónsson (III:308–9); Unger (II:179).

23. Louis-Jensen (36) mentions that the word is written k (possibly with a crossbar) in AM 325 IV α 4to and that the passage is so heavily abridged that the text loses its sense. She transcribes “konung” (in the accusative). Storm (13) reads “konungr” (in the nominative). In The Legendary Saga of Ólaf the Saint it is clear that Kolbein sees the king walking towards him and then grasping the stump of the tongue (cf. Johnsen 103; G. Jónsson 393; Arthur and Wolf 117). Louis-Jensen’s reading of the corresponding passage in AM 325 IV α 4to (with konung in the accusative) gives the same meaning. Storm’s reading (with konungr in the nominative) implies instead that the king sees Kolbein.

24. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 119, 2nd paragraph) = Arthur and Wolf (117); G. Jónsson (I:393); Johnsen (104); # Arthur and Wolf (115); G. Jónsson (I:390); Johnsen (102); Indrebø (116); Johnsen and Helgason (649–50); F. Jónsson (III:381–82); Unger (II:179).

25. Storm (13) and Louis-Jensen (36) both read “vat,” but Storm (13 n. 2) suggests that this is a scribal error and should correctly be “avalt” [always].

26. The word for tongue (Old Norse-Icelandic: tunga) is the final word on fol. 1r of AM 325 IV α 4to. It is written “tung.” Storm (13 n. 3) notes that the ending of the word (ø in his opinion) as well as a (necessary) preposition (or [out of]) to go with “kverkina” [the throat] are missing from the manuscript. Louis-Jensen (36) adds that there are no visible traces in the manuscript that suggest that the ending or the (necessary) preposition were ever actually written in the manuscript.

27. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 118) = Arthur and Wolf (117); G. Jónsson (I:392–93); Johnsen (103); Johnsen and Jón Helgason (835); # Johnsen and Helgason (637–38); F. Jónsson (III:152–53).
28. Storm (13) transcribes “Alvaldr,” whereas Louis-Jensen (36) spells the name “Alvaldr.” While Ølvald (=Alvald) can be found, Alvald is far more common as a normalized version and was, therefore, used for this edition and translation.

29. Louis-Jensen (36) notes that the word is indicated with a k with a crossbar. She mentions that this abbreviation is used elsewhere in the fragment for konungr [king] (in any of the four cases) and that this could also be the meaning here. The passages may, therefore, also be translated as “Go to King Olaf in London.”

30. Storm (13) reads “Ilund,” which Guðni Jónsson (422) normalizes to “Í Lund.” According to Louis-Jensen’s (36) transcription of the fragment, the word is abbreviated “lund + ir-abbreviatur,” which she expands to “lundunum.” The abbreviation of personal and place names is obviously not an uncommon scribal practice. Louis-Jensen (36) suggests that the word could possibly also be expanded to “lundir.” The Old Norse terms Lundr [Lund] and Lundúnir [London] begin with identical syllables and it is possible that Storm and/or Guðni Jónsson mistook an abbreviated London for Lund. All parallel texts preserving this miracle, however, have London in Great Britain (G. Jónsson I:392; Johnsen 103; Johnsen and Helgason 637, 835; F. Jónsson III:152). It is, therefore, highly unlikely that the text in AM 325 IV α 4t refers to Lund in Sweden.

31. Louis-Jensen (36) writes “sid,” pointing out that there is no evidence of a crossbar through the ascender of d. She also notes that Storm (13) transcribes “ťidir.” Contextually, “of síðir” [at last] fits better than “of síð” [too late].

32. Louis-Jensen (36) has “hver” [which one], Storm (13) reads “hꝩar” [where]. Another possible translation is, therefore, “people did not know where Olaf’s Church was.”

33. As before (see n. 29), Louis-Jensen (36) notes that word is abbreviated and could also be expanded to konungs. Another possible translation is, therefore, “He was told that there were so many churches there that people did not know which one was King Olaf’s.”

34. See n. 29 and n. 33. Another possible translation is “I know the way to King Olaf’s.”

35. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 109) ≠ Arthur and Wolf (110–11); G. Jónsson (I:181-82); Johnsen (96–97); Indrebø (117–18); Johnsen and Helgason (650–54); F. Jónsson (III:382-85); Unger (II:179–81).

36. The text seems to refer to Gudthorm Greybeard, father of King Sigurd Munn’s mother Tora, who is mentioned in chapter “(119. The miracle of the tongue-excised men.).”

37. Storm (13) has “modor bródr sigyrdar insunga konungs,” which Guðni Jónsson (423) normalizes to “móður-bræðr Sigurðarins unga konungs.” Louis-Jensen (36–37) transcribes “modor brodri sigyrdar unga konungs.” She mentions in a note regarding ing that the letter g is only partially written and assumes that the scribe began to write inga (possibly looking ahead at unga) and realized his mistake before completing the word. Considering that King Sigurd’s nickname is munn [The Mouth] and not The Young, it makes sense that there should not be a definite article (ins) in the sentence. It must also be noted that even though brodr—in both Storm’s (13) and Louis-Jensen’s (36) editions—could be interpreted as a singular (implying that Gudthorm is the uncle of King Sigurd), Guðni Jónsson’s normalization to bræðr (plural) can be considered accurate, since Gudthorm is said to have been Sigurd’s grandfather.

38. Lake Rønd is modern-day Randsfjorden.
39. Corresponding text passages (Chapter 122) = Arthur and Wolf (118–20); G. Jónsson (I:395–97); Johnsen (105–6); Indrebø (125–26); Johnsen and Helgason (848–50); Unger (II:182).

40. Storm (14) transcribes “Þatt” (normalized þat by G. Jónsson 424), suggesting the miracle begins “I have told this of the miracles.” Louis-Jensen (37), however, reads “Þart” and points out that comparison with other texts preserving this miracle (e.g., Indrebø 125; Johnsen and Helgason 848) suggests that the initial should have been an M, thus beginning the chapter with the word “Mart” (= margt [much]). She also points out that the scribe of The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson, where the chapter begins with “Dat” (cf. Johnsen 105) made the same mistake.

41. Louis-Jensen (38) transcribes “heitari,” compared with Storm (14) “hettari” (which G. Jónsson [424] normalizes to “hættari”). Louis-Jensen (38) mentions in a note “Storm læser, næppe med rette, hettari, jfr. Leg. saga, Glno. hom., St. saga OH” [Storm reads—hardly rightfully so—hettari, cf. Leg. saga, Glno. hom., St. saga OH], Johnsen (105 = Leg. saga), Indrebø (125 = Glno. hom.), and Johnsen and Helgason (848 = St. saga OH) all have “hættare” or “hættari.” Louis-Jensen seems to suggest that Storm’s reading of “hettari” is incorrect and that the manuscript spells the word “heitari.” Nonetheless, her references to other texts preserving the same miracle implies that the word’s meaning remains “hættari” [more dangerous], rather than suggesting that “heitari” should be normalized as “heitari” [more fervent].

42. According to Storm (15), the manuscript reads “hvern hanf æþpringi.” Similarly, Louis-Jensen (38) has “hvern hann af springi.” She suggests however, that comparison with other texts that preserve this miracle (cf. Johnsen 105; Indrebø 126; Johnsen and Helgason 849) indicates that the word dag should follow hvern. In this case, the translation should be “Therewith every day he deceives his offspring.”

43. Storm (15) transcribes the name of the district “ytrey.” He states in a footnote that the word is written “ytkrey” with a dot under the k (which presumably indicates that scribe realized a scribal error). Guðni Jónsson (425) normalizes in accordance with Storm to “Ytrey.” Louis-Jensen (38), who transcribes the name as “yckrey,” says that there is no visible trace of the dot that Storm mentions. In The Legendary Saga of King Olaf Haraldsson, the district is named as “Yrjar” (G. Jónsson 395; Johnsen 105). In the Gamal norsk homiliebok the name is given as “Ytri-øy” (Indrebø 126), similar to Storm. Den store saga om Olav den Hellige (Johnsen and Helgason 849) and Snorri’s separate Saga of Olaf the Saint (Unger 182) do not preserve the name of the district. It seems likely that the manuscript refers to Ýtterøy, a former municipality in Nord-Trøndelag, which in Old Norse-Icelandic was generally referred to as Ýtrioy or Øyin ýtri. (My thanks go to Natalie van Deusen for her assistance in determining the correct placename.)

44. Louis-Jensen (39) transcribes “s0000ifis.” She points out that Storm (15) reads “fárliðif,” which also corresponds with the text preserved in Johnsen (105); Indrebø (126); and Johnsen and Helgason (849).

45. Louis-Jensen (39) transcribes “avmhiar0000.” She points out that Storm (15) reads “avmhiartadr.” She notes that the ending -hiartadr also corresponds with the text preserved in Indrebø (126) and Johnsen and Helgason (849 = “miukhiartadr”).
46. Louis-Jensen (39) reads “nu of dagin þa þar lickamr þess helga manz ut bozín med haleitri tign þa toc sia þesli madr at hugleida.” Storm (15), however, adds er to the first part of the sentence (“nu þar daginn þa er þar”). Following Storm’s transcription of the manuscript, the passage could also be translated as “Now, during the day when the body of this holy man was carried out with stately ceremony, that wretched man began to reflect.”

47. Louis-Jensen (39) transcribes “hve00aɴ,” suggesting that the word may have been misspelled, but it is difficult to determine what the two illegible letters may have been. Storm (15) reads “hve hann.”

48. Storm (16) has “leysa fyndína oc är þar þeigo bánd fem hann hafði fíc i þest oc þiandinn hann i þarga.” Louis-Jensen (39), however, reads the passage “leysa synd sina oc af ser þar þeigo bánd 000h hafðo ha fest oc þiandin hann i þarðan.” She points out that her reading of ha is definite, although there could be a faint crossbar through the h (= hann, possibly hann d). She considers Storm’s reading of fíc in this case impossible. The meaning, however, seems to be basically the same in both cases.

49. Only the first syllable (and) of the word andskoti [Satan] is preserved in the fragment.

REFERENCES


